

Published on Web 01/05/2007

Direct Observation of Dipolar Couplings and Hydrogen Bonds across a β -Hairpin in 8 M Urea

Sebastian Meier, Mark Strohmeier, Martin Blackledge, and Stephan Grzesiek*

Biozentrum, University of Basel, Klingelbergstrasse 50, 4056 Basel, Switzerland, and Institute de Biologie Structurale Jean-Pierre Ebel, CNRS-CEA-UJF, 41 rue Jules Horowitz, 38027-Grenoble Cedex, France

Received October 20, 2006; E-mail: stephan.grzesiek@unibas.ch

The detailed, quantitative description of the unfolded state ensemble of proteins is a largely unsolved task. Structural probability distributions for such ensembles are very difficult to determine from the limited amount of existing experimental data. However, a detailed description should help to understand protein folding since a certain bias toward the native state must exist within the unfolded ensemble in order to resolve Levinthal's paradox.¹

Indeed, elements of residual structure are sometimes detected by NMR under strongly denaturing conditions. Thus nuclear Overhauser enhancements² have revealed a native-like hydrophobic cluster in the urea-denatured form of the 434-repressor; relaxation and mutational studies³ have proven long-range interactions between hydrophobic clusters in unfolded proteins, and chemical shifts or three-bond scalar couplings⁴ report on certain conformational preferences on the background of a "random coil" structural distribution.

More recently, residual structure in unfolded states has been detected by residual dipolar couplings (RDCs) in weakly anisotropic solutions.⁵ Since large numbers of RDCs are easily measured and since they have a simple geometrical dependence on the length and orientation of the internuclear distance vector,⁶ they bear particular promise for a detailed, quantitative characterization of unfolded conformations. Indeed, fair quantitative agreement has been reported between measured ¹ $D_{\rm NH}$ RDCs in unfolded proteins and predictions according to a structural ensemble that samples the Protein Data Bank coil conformations.⁷ Such one-bond RDCs and the statistical coil models are, however, limited to local order and do not offer direct insights into longer-range interactions.

Here we show that long-range information for an unfolded, perdeuterated protein (urea-denatured ubiquitin) can be detected with very high sensitivity from H^N-H^N RDCs. Besides numerous sequential contacts, the RDCs give evidence for the persistence of native-like structure in ubiquitin's first β -hairpin. This native-like structure is corroborated by chemical shifts, ³J_{HNHA} couplings, relaxation rates, and the direct detection of hydrogen bonds (H-bonds) by ^{h3}J_{NC'} couplings.

The long-range H^N – H^N RDCs were obtained by a quantitative ¹⁵N-edited H^NH^N COSY experiment⁸ on perdeuterated/amide protonated ¹⁵N-labeled ubiquitin aligned in strained polyacrylamide gel (Figure 1). In total, 66 H^{N_i} – $H^{N_{i+1}}$, 53 H^{N_i} – $H^{N_{i+2}}$, and 17 H^{N_i} – $H^{N_{i+3}}$ RDCs could be detected unambiguously and quantified (Supporting Information). In addition to these sequential RDCs, the experiment also revealed RDCs across the first β -turn of the native state (T7-G10 and T7-K11, Figures 1 and 3). An additional RDC, V5-I13, which connects the adjacent amino acid pair of the β -hairpin, may be present but could not be assigned unambiguously due to overlap. ROESY data confirmed the spatial proximity of these natively H-bonded residues (Supporting Information).

The presence of a subpopulation of native-like structure for the $\beta\text{-hairpin}$ in the urea-denatured form was corroborated by an



Figure 1. ¹H/¹⁵N strips from a 3D quantitative, ¹⁵N-edited H^NH^N COSY for the detection of H^N-H^N RDCs in urea-denatured ubiquitin. Data are extracted for the amide resonances of residues V5 to E16 in the N-terminal hairpin. Cross-peaks are marked with assignment information. In case of ambiguity, information from mirror cross-peaks was used to make assignments unique. Tentative assignments of RDCs between residues V5 and I13 are marked as 13^t and 5^t. An overlapping resonance from the amide of V70 is marked by an asterisk. Sample conditions: 2 mM ²H/¹⁵N ubiquitin aligned in strained polyacrylamide gel [10% w/v, max(|¹D_{NH}|) = 15 Hz], 8 M urea, pH 2.5, 5% D₂O/95% H₂O, 25 °C. Data were collected on a Bruker DMX600 MHz spectrometer equipped with a TXI probe. Total experimental time: 48 h.



Figure 2. Evidence for native-like backbone conformations of ubiquitin's first β -hairpin in 8 M urea at pH 2.5 from isotropic NMR parameters. Data for native ubiquitin (water, pH 4.6) are shown in comparison. (A,B) ¹⁵N and ¹³C^{α} secondary chemical shifts (see Supporting Information for details). The secondary chemical shifts are scaled by a factor 4 for the denatured state. (C) Scalar ³J_{HNHA} couplings. (D) Transverse ¹⁵N relaxation rates.

analysis of ${}^{13}C^{\alpha}$ and ${}^{15}N$ secondary chemical shifts, which are strongly dependent on backbone torsion angles (Figure 2). A scaling by a factor of 4 of the secondary shifts in 8 M urea leads to almost exact coincidence with the secondary shifts of the native form in the β -hairpin region. In the simplest approximation, this suggests that the native hairpin backbone conformation is populated to about 25% in 8 M urea. Likewise, ${}^{3}J_{\rm HNHA}$ couplings and ${}^{15}N$ relaxation data⁹ point to native-like local structure and slowing of dynamics



Figure 3. Top: ¹H/¹⁵N strips from a 3D long-range HNCO–TROSY experiment¹³ showing ^{h3}J_{NC'} correlations across H-bonds in urea-denatured ubiquitin. Overlapping resonances are marked in italics. Sample conditions: 2 mM ²H/¹³C/¹⁵N ubiquitin, 8 M urea pH 2.5, 5% D₂O/95% H₂O, 25 °C. Data were collected for a total of 72 h on a Bruker DMX800 MHz spectrometer equipped with a TCI cryoprobe. Bottom: Summary of long-range interactions in the first β-hairpin of urea-denatured ubiquitin. Detected interactions are shown as dashed cylinders for ^{h3}J_{NC'} (magenta) and *D*_{H/NHN} (gold). Ambiguous correlations due to spectral overlap are shown in white.

Table 1. Ubiquitin ^{h3}J_{NC'} Couplings [Hz] under Various Conditions

donor N	I3	L15	V5	I13
acceptor C'	L15	I3	I13	V5
h ³ J _{NC} 8 M urea	-0.05	-0.06	-0.05	-0.06
h3J _{NC'} native13	-0.45	-0.62	overlap	-0.73
h3JNC' A-state14	-0.44	-0.49	-0.48	-0.55

to the microsecond time scale near the β -bulge of the N-terminal hairpin (Figure 2).

There is some debate about the relative importance of hydrophobic side chain interactions, amino acid conformational preferences, and H-bonding for the stabilization of model β -sheet structures. A recent combined NMR and IR study on an isolated β -hairpin showed that the IR signature of H-bonding was absent, while ¹H^{α} chemical shifts were consistent with a β -hairpin structure.¹⁰ The presence and identity of hydrogen-bonded pairs can be monitored directly by H-bond scalar couplings (^{h3}J_{NC'}).^{11,12} Since the native-state ^{h3}J_{NC'} couplings for ubiquitin's first β -hairpin are close to -0.6 Hz,¹³ even an only 25% population of formed H-bonds should be detectable in a long-range HNCO experiment. Indeed, cross signals were obtained between four H-bond donors and acceptors in the N-terminal hairpin (Figure 3, Table 1).

The size of the ${}^{h3}J_{NC'}$ couplings was quantified from the signal intensities of the long-range HNCO experiment as described.¹¹ For the four H-bonds in amino acid pairs I3-L15 and V5-I13, the ${}^{h3}J_{NC'}$ values range between -0.05 and -0.06 Hz with a statistical error of about 0.02 Hz (Table 1). Thus the ${}^{h3}J_{NC'}$ values are significantly

smaller than expected for a 25% population of closed native-state H-bonds. This additional reduction is not caused by the loss of contacts to other secondary structure elements since the ${}^{\rm h3}J_{\rm NC'}$ values in ubiquitin's A-state, where the β -hairpin is isolated, are only slightly reduced (about -0.5 Hz) relative to the native state (Table 1). Taken together, this suggests that despite the 25% native backbone torsion angles indicated by the ${}^{15}{\rm N}$ and ${}^{13}{\rm C}^{\alpha}$ chemical shifts, the H-bonds of the hairpin are formed to a lesser degree in urea.

In conclusion, we have shown that a very large number of longrange H^N-H^N RDCs can be detected in perdeuterated, denatured proteins. The RDCs reveal the persistence of native-like elements even under strongly denaturing conditions in ubiquitin. Although the RDC detection may emphasize to a certain extent more strongly aligning conformations, the native-like structure elements are independently confirmed by chemical shifts, ${}^{3}J_{\text{HNHA}}$, and R_2 values as well as ${}^{\text{h}^{3}}J_{\text{NC}}$ -detected H-bonds. This quantitative information should help to improve the current models of the denatured state. Efforts in our groups are directed to this goal.

Acknowledgment. This work was supported by SNF Grant 31-109712 (S.G.), the CEA, and the CNRS (M.B.).

Supporting Information Available: Details of the chemical shift assignments, full strip plots of ¹⁵N-edited H^NH^N COSY and ROESY, and D_{HNHN} and ¹ D_{HN} values. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

References

- (1) Zwanzig, R.; Szabo, A.; Bagchi, B. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 1992, 89, 20-22.
- (2) Neri, D.; Billeter, M.; Wider, G.; Wüthrich, K. Science 1992, 257, 1559– 1563.
- (3) (a) Frank, M. K.; Clore, G. M.; Gronenborn, A. M. Protein Sci. 1995, 4, 2605–2615. (b) Klein-Seetharaman, J.; Oikawa, M.; Grimshaw, S. B.; Wirmer, J.; Duchardt, E.; Ueda, T.; Imoto, T.; Smith, L. J.; Dobson, C. M.; Schwalbe, H. Science 2002, 295, 1719–1722.
- (4) (a) Smith, L. J.; Bolin, K. A.; Schwalbe, H.; MacArthur, M. W.; Thornton, J. M.; Dobson, C. M. J. Mol. Biol. 1996, 255, 494–506. (b) Schwalbe, H.; Fiebig, K. M.; Buck, M.; Jones, J. A.; Grimshaw, S. B.; Spencer, A.; Glaser, S. J.; Smith, L. J.; Dobson, C. M. Biochemistry 1997, 36, 8977–8991.
- (5) (a) Shortle, D.; Ackerman, M. S. Science 2001, 293, 487–489. (b) Alexandrescu, A. T.; Kammerer, R. A. Protein Sci. 2003, 12, 2132– 2140. (c) Mohana-Borges, R.; Goto, N. K.; Kroon, G. J.; Dyson, H. J.; Wright, P. E. J. Mol. Biol. 2004, 340, 1131–1142. (d) Meier, S.; Guthe, S.; Kiefhaber, T.; Grzesiek, S. J. Mol. Biol. 2004, 344, 1051–1069. (e) Bertoncini, C. W.; Jung, Y. S.; Fernandez, C. O.; Hoyer, W.; Griesinger, C.; Jovin, T. M.; Zweckstetter, M. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 2005, 102, 1430–1435.
- (6) Tjandra, N.; Bax, A. Science 1997, 278, 1111-1114.
- (7) (a) Bernado, P.; Blanchard, L.; Timmins, P.; Marion, D.; Ruigrok, R. W.; Blackledge, M. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **2005**, *102*, 17002–17007.
 (b) Jha, A. K.; Colubri, A.; Freed, K. F.; Sosnick, T. R. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **2005**, *102*, 13099–13104.
- (8) (a) Meier, S.; Häussinger, D.; Jensen, P.; Rogowski, M.; Grzesiek, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 44–45. (b) Wu, Z.; Bax, A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 9672–9673.
- (9) Wirmer, J.; Peti, W.; Schwalbe, H. J. Biomol. NMR 2006, 35, 175–186.
 (10) Colley, C. S.; Griffiths-Jones, S. R.; George, M. W.; Searle, M. S. Chem. Commun. 2000, 593–594.
- (11) Cordier, F.; Grzesiek, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1999**, 121, 1601–1602.
- (12) Cornilescu, G.; Hu, J. S.; Bax, A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 2949– 2950.
- (13) Cordier, F.; Grzesiek, S. J. Mol. Biol. 2002, 317, 739–752.
 (14) Cordier, F.; Grzesiek, S. Biochemistry 2004, 43, 11295–11301.

4) Cordier, F.; Grzesiek, S. Biochemistry 2004, 43, 11295–11301.
 JA067522K

J. AM. CHEM. SOC. VOL. 129, NO. 4, 2007 755